



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,264	09/12/2003	Geoff Allen	14334.0002	7787
27611	7590	08/31/2011		
HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP			EXAMINER	
ONE BATTERY PARK PLAZA			HUSSAIN, FARRUKH	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
08/31/2011	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

[patents@hugheshubbard.com](mailto:patents@hugheshubbard.com)

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/661,264	ALLEN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> FARRUKH HUSSAIN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2444

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) FARRUKH HUSSAIN. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.
- (2) David Schalk, Reg.No. 60,527. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 August 2011.

Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  
 Personal [copy given to:  applicant  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed  101  112  102  103  Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative regarding whether a response to the most recent office action had been sent and left a message. However, the examiner did not receive the Applicant's representative call.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/Ranodhi N Serrao/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2444	/F. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444
---	------------------------------------